

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/978,532	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BELLANTONI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Clement B. Graham	<b>Art Unit</b> 3692	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Clement B. Graham. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Walter Nielsen. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 05 September 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
           c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☐ No.  
       If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,9,15 and 23.

Identification of prior art discussed: No.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: During a interview with Applicant's representative Mr Walter Nielsen the examiner discussed the 101 rejections problems in the claims 1, 9, 15, 23, and Mr Nielsen instructed the examiner to add electronically in the steps of the claims and add system in claim 23 preamble.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Clement B Graham/ Examiner, Art Unit 3692	
---	--